Trainwreck on JF w/How Not to Host or be Hosted

tonyodarg.wordpress.com/2019/12/03/trainwreck-on-jf-w-how-not-to-host-or-be-hosted

Tony Odarg December 3, 2019

So, this is awkward! You ever get that feeling in the pit of your stomach when you screwed up…or were humiliated…or you know you can and have done better, but realize only afterwards that hindsight is twenty-twenty?

That's me right now. For those unaware, I was on JF"s the "Public Space". Now I have been on before. I appreciate very much the commentary and guests that have been featured. I don't endorse anyone in particular and I shy away from putting any human (including myself) on a pedestal. What happened? Well, JF looked at the chat mid-livestream and decided the audience wasn't into my "rambling".

Before you angrily @ JF on twitter to let him know I'm badmouthing him behind his back, hear me out. This is not an attack or diss of JF; he did what he thought was right for his show, as any host would. However, in the interest of giving my side of the story in a dare I say "democratic" manner, I thought I would review some reactions to my performance there. I will be going through some comments, and you be the judge yourself. The video of our conversation is linked below:

Watch Video At: https://youtu.be/4rkXVOxOtJA

I should say as well that regardless of what *I* think of how I did, I will simply give commentary on the comments people made. In full disclosure, I wasn't at my best I'll admit.

First, the ones that were critical…

My question: **Question: What was your opinion of my performance with JF? Please be brutally honest! My responses in bold.**

RedIceBlueFire 2 hours ago

I'm not trying to be insulting, but frankly I couldn't bare to listen to the whole thing because your commentary was vague, often incoherent and sometimes self-contradicting with no real opinion. At times you seem to have an opinion and going somewhere with it with some sense of confidence, and than as you keep talking you start unraveling what you just said by going into trivial or contradicting avenues with no real opinion. People here are not interested in guests that just think out loud and ramble with abstracts sub-points, we want coherent opinions respectfully please.

I don't have much to add. Others will give more specifics but this is a good diagnosis of what happened.

son up 2 hours ago

Groyper are to be fed and grown into a small enemy for the West to fight. You black pilled the fat frogs like that bear in the woods does. Black frogs don't groyp.

These kinds of comments make me lose the will to live. What does this even mean?

Honor Kill 2 hours ago

Was listening in 1.5x speed, so it seemed a bit abrupt to me. Thought u had somewhat interesting to things to say, perhaps a bit cynical. But that's just the jist I was getting. I think u just triggered groypers and so Jf was watching the chat and took their advice. Keep going tho, I enjoyed what I heard. "Why do we fall Bruce, to pick ourselves back up"

Yes, JF responded to the chat. Cynicism is sometimes in conformity with reality, and if you think not, offer a reason why I'm wrong; don't just simply say "you're cynical".

Michael 2 hours ago

Tony Odarg – I think right before the discussion ended is where the issue may have been as opposed to the entire discussion as a whole. Perhaps try to focus the point you're trying to make that also invites questions from those you're having a conversation with. It just seems JF didn't know what to ask you after a few minutes of you talking straight. And judging by the chat, they were mostly lost as well. That simply came off in the form of being very verbose. That happens to every single one of us. Hang in there.

But is that really a reason to cut an interview short? Wouldn't a more seasoned host redirect the line of questioning or the conversation as a whole, if he thought it was going nowhere? This doesn't make JF look good or me bad: it makes the whole think a freakshow.

cagey B 2 hours ago

jf seemed like a dick. he could have guided you with questions and comments.

Ibid.

McCool009 2 hours ago

I thought you were doing alright. If he thought you were rambling I'd expect JF to interrupt you and give you instruction right there, rather than abrubtly kicking you off. Seemed odd.

Ibid (2)

Duck 2 hours ago (edited)

You accurately critiqued the lunacy of the Groyper / Nick Fuentes movement thatll go nowhere. They wont achieve political power. They have no leverage. Theyll vote for Trump & get nothing in return but deplatforming, mass immigration & judicial persecution. Also solutions should have been discussed like local organizing.

Speaking of solutions, why didn't he ask me about, say, "What are your solutions to the groypers?"

DonkeySystem2 1 hour ago

I didn't think you were too bad. JF should have tried to bring more focus to the interview instead of just booting you. Your voice and manner was good, but you were a bit rambling – I wouldn't spend as much time delineating problems with no suggestion of a solution., though. All the best…

I agree, rambling is bad. But he could have asked me for my solutions. Instead, he did not.

MKay OKay 1 hour ago

I guess I got what JF was saying. His show is fast paced and results oriented. That's what keeps it fun. But I wouldn't have realized that's why I like the show so much unless he pointed it out tonight. I have a tendency to communicate exactly as you did tonight. I've never tried to run a channel or do an interview so I commend you. I subbed over on your channel. Thanks for being here tonight.

No results will occur on YouTube, that was point about the groypers. It's an online and sometimes on college campuses movement. Instead of complaining about Marxist college professors, why not try to become the college professors? Why not try to get a higher education institution started that would be explicitly pro-white? PS: thank you for subscribing.

Evan Schulz 1 hour ago

Sophomoric. Baby with the bathwater. Nihilist and defeatist.

Comment Causing Me to Lose the Will to Live Part 2

family plan 1 hour ago

Your performance was draining buddy. It seemed like you were channeling a right leaning Woody Allen character. We should all be thankful that Chad JF put an end to this interview. If this was just an off day, good luck next time and remember nobody wants to listen to a limp wristed speaker. You should not waste your audience's time with a position you cannot articulate or defend. If today's interactions are your normal pattern you might be a subversive wizard, or a MK Ultra victim. Absolutely fuck your Non UN United Nations idea, you know nothing Tony Snow. Good luck

I think Mark Brahmin has two accounts. My point about the United Nations was that international bodies are inevitable. I am not a libertarian or a nationalist. To say that human beings will simply leave each other alone, as JF seems to think possible, is to be ignorant of all of human history. Let's everyone get along really means let's do nothing. So who's really "limp wristed"?

B S 1 hour ago

Take a position. As an exercise it can be useful to brainstorm and throw ideas around without taking a position, but this show isn't the place for that. At the end of the day we have to distill all of the thinking we have done into actual positions that we will defend and present to the public for their consideration. This is how civilized discourse is advanced.

Assuming your user name is not referring to your initial or a four-year degree, is that how civilized discourse is advanced? By people nonsense in their bio. By hey, fair enough. If JF wants "fast paced" maybe his show is not the one to go on.

Teresa Aljayyousi 1 hour ago

I believe it is wrong to dismiss any race as being only viable under dictatorships. Unless you have lived in those places you only offer narrow observations. Syria is a secular democracy in the Middle East. The west and other countries tried to destroy them and make them a more radical society. Africa I don't know. But if there are groups with weapons that are violent I guarantee the CIA is behind it as a form of social engineering. Be careful you don't fall for it.

Ah yes, the you-haven't-lived-it-so-you-don't-know fallacy. But that's not really important. Europeans created democracy; the Middle East created Sharia Law. Regarding the CIA, I actually have said before, and anyone who knows about foreign policy knows this, that the intelligence agencies create chaos in other regions of the world. But how does that debunk my argument that you need a police state the lower the IQ of the population is? If anything, the fact that the Middle East and much of the

third world is propped up by the West (mostly America) proves my point. Is this commenter not aware of this? The only thing he fell into was his own ignorance. By the way, I'm not arguing for democracy.

Blue -eyed 1 hour ago

I didnt know you where performing, I thought you came to share your thoughts, which you did. Regarding the feelings you emitted through your voice and message, it was a somewhat depressing/hopeless. The fact that JF booted you reveals the weakness of JF more than yours.

Thanks, I guess? The semantic argument once again. I hate when people do this: argue with you about and sidestep the important points. I wasn't performing, in the same way opera singers do. It is a colloquial way of expressing how one did under pressure, or in public or live on air. However, this gets us to a larger point that others have expressed. And that is why are people incapable of realizing that the world is messed up! I said as much. But let's try to change it, not groan about it. If JF is too weak to understand this, which I doubt very much, that reveals much more about him than meets the eye.

Heeelo Banana 1 hour ago

Tony, my opinion is that your not wrong on any point you are making, but we have heard it all before. For me, before JF ended it, I thought you sounded like a parrot of Spencer talking points but watered down and sort of boring. Why I listen to the public space is for different ideas and perspectives and you didnt offer any of that. Cheers

I share SOME of Spencer's more outré views perhaps yes. But that doesn't make me a parrot, any more than explaining the theory of relativity makes one a parrot of Einstein. Saying what other people have already said is not wrong; we all have to start somewhere, and I prefer not to have to do so with a tabula rasa. That being said I disagree with much of what Spencer said. And yes, I agree, I didn't do well. But again, JF should have redirected the conversation. Good hosts deal with less-than-optimal guests maturely by guiding the conversation, and ending it gracefully without making a scene.

Super Mega SS 88 Ultra Rightwing Purity Death Squad 3 hours ago

Wtf? What was chat saying? I was listening to Tony (never heard before) and suddenly he just gets kicked off?

Martin Giuffrida 3 hours ago

JFG ended the interview when it was appropriate. This person did not get 'kicked off' imho

Yes, I was kicked off, quite visibly I might add.

family plan 1 hour ago (edited)

Thank you JF, that was such a refreshing demonstration of tough love.

Or bad hosting?

Blue -eyed 1 hour ago

JF, if you have a certain threshold or expectation on an interview you should inform the guests first and or try out talking with them prior to the interview. To invite someone and then kicking them is extremely rude, immature and irresponsible. Where was YOUR input in the talk JF? Why didnt YOU stear the interview to a place you wanted?!

You can bring a horse to water, but you can't make him drink. You the reader might think this applies to me, but I'm not a horse. I have a brain, believe it or not. I was not "being bad" as it were, or arguing in bad faith, breaking TOS or attempting to sabotage his channel or show. This viewer has it right: guide the show where you want. As a matter of the record, JF never really explained his expectations, and I didn't ask. I didn't think it was rocket science.

Evan Schulz 1 hour ago

Nice to have a child's view of the world from time to time. Glad you kept it brief, JF.

You misspelled "incel". But where was the child' view? We didn't hear his, so we'll have to live life deprived adults I surmise.

B S 1 hour ago (edited)

>why does it matter ultimately if we red pill the entire nation but everyone in power doesn't really care

this is a silly statement. there are things NOW that the powers-that-be want but the people would not tolerate. how is this possible according to your thinking?

I think you severely underestimate the degree to which the population has been render docile. If we gotten to talk about No Nut November, I would have mentioned that masturbation and pornography makes young men (the demographic with the most potential) docile, limp, stupid, stulted, and unable to mount an effective resistance to anything. Demographics is not destiny. Whites were the majority of the population, now we're not. How could this be? The people have tolerated just about everything. There really isn't anything left, except the degree to which what is already here is in fact mainstreamed even more. How is what possible exactly? The powers that be want NOW yes, but unlike you, they're patient. That's how propaganda works: slow and steady wins the race.

Scott 37 minutes ago

All the most successful powerful Muslim nations in the middle east where systematically destroyed by the west on behalf of Israel so they can dominate the region unopposed and they are deliberately flooding the refugees from these wars and economic migrants from Africa in to Europe to destroy Europe by proxy they

openly talk about this

I agree.

lynx882 1 hour ago

1. Eliminate “um” and “uh” as a filler of silence from your vocabulary.

2. Reduce the number of uses of preface words and of preface phrases, such as “you know,” “essentially,” and “I mean.”

3. Reduce the amount of terminations in mid-thought. To think and to speak logically (i.e., intelligibly) is a solution to such terminations.

4. JF, as the host, is allowed to fence-sit on certain subjects on which he has no knowledge or opinion. But you, as a guest, should not be a fence-sitter (e.g., on the subject of “paternalism” toward less developed nations you said at 10:40 “Well, look, I’m not really advocating any of the things I said”). Neutrality in a guest is uninteresting. You are here to share your perspective and prosecute your cause, but not to waffle in your own thoughts.

An example of waffling:

6:19 “What we should be doing….” You aborted your declaration of what ought to be done, but you were careful to say that you “Don’t really have a problem” with imperialism nor empire building. Then you support the maintenance of a “international body,” such as UN and EU, in which nations can adjudicate a grievance (Note: methods of adjudication is one expression of a government; different types of government adjudicate differently). But then you mention the varying capacities within each racial group, capacities which are incompatible with certain forms of government. Then a declaration of support for the erection a Wakandian-like city(?). Then an admission about your humanitarian enterprise. You support an “international body” (i.e, government) and a Wakandian future of Africa. But you acknowledge ‘differences’ that render certain populations incapable of certain forms of government. Do you see the contradiction?

Another user timestamped 16:45 as a place of agreement; the same user also distilled your own thoughts, which were presented inarticulately in this discussion. Your thought would have been more well received if you followed a intelligible train of thought. For example:

Nick Fuentes says that Donald Junior is not a target of agitation made by Groypers –> Powerful people ultimately influence societal decisions –> [Cite examples of political/ethical differences between elected politicians and their constituents] –> Donald Junior is a current member of the powerful people because of his proximity and cognation to the President –> Donald Trump Jr. ought to be a target of agitation of the Groypers.

With this train of thought, you will have introduced your premises, and a conclusion which follows from such premises — a conclusion which critiques Fuentes’ strategy of political influence. I will say that your sentiment would have been much better received if, instead of “I don’t know how to do that. I don’t know how to change

that. I'm not offering a solution; I don't have one," you had said that 'Nick Fuentes is failing to seize an opportunity to affect the opinion of a man who actually has power' (i.e., Donald Jr.).

Hope this helps.

While I disagree with some of the premises of this comment, I get the idea. Great advice.

right flag 1 day ago

You were very bad. Momma JF would have surely left JF had he not cut you off.

I highly doubt that.

ChuckOriley 1 day ago

Whether JFs reaction was appropriate or not to me it was obvious he was in a tired/bad mood from the start. His voice was all shaky and weak compared to his usual french chad self….maybe hes on his period.

That would explain a lot.

Veritopian 1 day ago

I thought you were making a very good point, albeit long-windedly… You did ramble but JF could've interrupted. I.e. is the groyper's strategy effective, and if not, then why? If folk want the elites to change course, then is the movement achieving it? Are they maximising their potential? Arguably not… Maybe it's the groypers that are "thinking aloud", and lacking a clear point… To change the elite's actions – people need a way (a legal mechanism) to hold them to account. That's all. Govt is corrupt because it is unaccountable. To stop corruption, it has to be made accountable. Right-wingers should be promoting & creating citizen-led common-law grand-juries to investigate & indict govt, IMO. The people's law is the common-law. The elite's law is statute. Under common-law – all law is made by citizens in juries, not by govt / elites. No politicians, and no lawyers required… That's why the west used to be great. It was a land without parasites. The west's demise began when we gave away control of the law/morality to immoral "authorities".

We see this theme with many of the comments, "You rambled by JF handled it badly".

Richard Rahl 1 day ago

Tony Odarg JF was harsher than I would have been, but he wasn't wrong. It's no easy task to jump in the hot seat with an interviewer on a public platform. As you further study the subjects you are passionate about and get more public speaking experience, you will solidify your opinions and increase your confidence and precision while speaking. This is a good learning experience. You have potential, so don't get too discouraged!

It's interesting that this person has no idea that I have been on JF before, and have been doing political analysis for a few years now.

Congenial Wisdom 1 day ago (edited)

Tony Odarg you asked how is groyping a benefit and what will it do? Red pilling people. Because you say it won't change policy. Are you advocating for a war? Lol. If the right wing doesn't have support because there are so many red pilled people it couldn't possibly get the support it needs for votes on some policy. Informed people is a good thing and all we can do is inform. Revolution may not be avoidable in the end though but that will to be seen because some think boogaloo will happen and some don't. I appreciate that you want a white ethnostate because so do I but you are going to need to eat more broccoli and steak because you sounded rather low t in your conversation with JF.

No, I'm not advocating war, and I think I made that clear. However, MERELY red pilling people without taking on power will not work. Call it whatever you want, a white ethnostate, a post-American order, whatever. But diversity has got to go, in theory and practice.

Alfred alfreds 1 day ago

I think you brought up good points all the internet red pilling going on the last 10 years means nothing and you are probably right shame jf kicked you

Yes, seems like that's all people these days have is shame.

son up 1 day ago

@Honor Kill Always 1.5 speed for JF. Autistic speech reduces inflection and bounce of phrasing. Speeding it up makes the verbiage flow like wine again. Good call. Plus it makes his guests sound like children asking Papa for his words. Funny effect.

Ok?

fluff 1 day ago

Try to get rid of "uhh". Just be quiet if nothing comes to your mind in that moment and something will come, I'm sure. If you need to make sounds because it helps you think then replace "uhh" with "and, so, well"

This is something I will work on.

Mal Goodman 16 hours ago

I tend to agree with JF. You were rambling in an incoherent stream of consciousness kind of way. It didn't reflect well on you. I hope you'll do better next time

Assuming there'll be a next time.

wooo weee 1 hour ago

“he doesn’t know how power works” says the guy who doesn’t know how power works and is some how disappointed because he believed his own meme propaganda. The art of the possible is the reality, you either deal with that or you black pill to rationalize laziness and failure.

Not sure if this refers to JF or me, but I have quite clearly not advocated doing nothing. Seems you are the one who isn’t doing anything except criticizing others for being lazy. Send me your resume?

peachees 17 hours ago

One way to out a Mossad plant is to ask him: what do u think about cutting off all US funding and military aid to Israel. I guarantee the answer will out the next plant. Is Tony uhs alot like Woody Allen … is he a Mossad plant to feign being a WS? I strongly suspect Tony is a Mossad agent … he hogs airtime to feign being a WS to taint ur channel. He uses too many inconsistent terms to being a real WS. Tony sounds like a brainwashed SJW who wants freebees and demands the world bow to his needs whatever they may be. Things are getting done … for ppl who work. We need to expose the SJW idiots like Tony who dont know how to talk or think with any cohesive thought or sense of reality other than in his own whinny spoiled mind.

I support cutting all foreign aid, to Israel and all other countries. I’m not a white supremacist or nationalist. Somehow this comment seems contrived and projection. Mossad asset? Gratuitously asserted, gratuitously denied. Any argument that calls other people names without evidence or in lieu of actual criticisms of the position taken is probably not worth responding to. This comment really is hilarious.

Donald Robertson 16 hours ago

Abortion? I am totally against aborting children. But aborting fetuses and embryos is Ok. Trump will do nothing for us? Such flawed thinking!

Thank you for proving your own point. Flawed thinking? Yes, I’m sure this person would not have minded his own mother aborting him. Oh, by the way, make sure to always vote GOP! Lol yourself. I notice so many people point out where my arguments are flawed, but never say how. Maybe that’s because they can’t argue.

JFG Livestreams 3 hours ago

Here are some notes, which I definitely think are fixable with proper training and practice: – Starting lines of arguments without knowing where they are going and ending with a decresendo “Well, you know, I don’t know what will happen, and I have no solutions.” – Making a review of past events without a line of argumentation relating to this (ex: the description of the sequence of events in the groypers wars, but without a theme or a question or angle that you attack or support it with). – Being unclear about whether you call for something or are just describing a position (ex: when you talked of some form of white patriarchy for managing the world but then specified after questioning “I don’t necessarily stand for what I said.”). – In general, trains of thoughts that don’t have a clear purpose and expressed goal, which you admitted explicitly “I’m kind of thinking aloud.”

I hope this experience does not discourage you; and I hope the people of the chat will be here to answer to your question and express what they found was triggering their dissatisfaction.

I did poorly, I admit it. The people in the chat did answer my question. In general, the sentiment could be boiled down to: JF handled my rambling badly. Rambling bad; reaction by JF bad. I will be better prepared if there is a next time.

Now the encouraging messages:

https://twitter.com/CristonAllenbr1/status/1200939321706106882?s=20

It's a disgusting shame what JF did to you tonight. I found your insights were based on the realities that thedissident right is facing, very interesting and most importantly true. He was just looking for an agreeable clown to entertain his audience.

https://twitter.com/Vetreyal1/status/1200978181454532608?s=20

I second this, you rambled a little bit but what you said was mostly true. JF should've tried to bring more focus to the interview instead of kickin you off. His omni nationalism, Orange d-sucking and libertarian baggage is beginning to annoy me. Srly no clue of how power works.

https://twitter.com/cashmoneyglock/status/1201082439591813120?s=20

It wasn't anywhere as bad as what JF said. This can happen when people are not really on the same page. You can't come out of left field and ask about groypers and whatnot, something that the guest doesn't really know much about or care about, then complain about rambling.

https://twitter.com/Thamster2/status/1201093004867428352?s=20

I think your points were solid but trailed off a bit with repeating no current solutions in an apologetic manner. It came off a bit too stream of consciousness like. The way to get around that is to articulate authoritatively the need for a refocusing of dissident goals. That being said, the response to end the interview was too harsh and playing to the audience in the chat. I think JF should have focused in on a couple points you made and brought up further questions from there to make it a coherent discourse. My last advice to get more cozy with being live us to write out, if you know ahead of time, some brief sketches of the points you want to make to stay focused. Even further, anticipate possible objections to your points in advance so you are ready with an answer. My first couple of livestreams weren't great either but it takes a lot to put yourself out there. I find it harder on the internet than I do public speaking IRL because of internet culture. It really becomes easier with practice.

Some Twitter DMs:

Most of the people in the chat are just dumb kids, they're there to troll and act like idiots. If this is the audience we are attempting to please, then none of this is worth our time or efforts. What you were expressing was not necessarily upbeat and positive info, but it was definitely true. The dissident right is in a

very bad place right now. That may not make for fun entertainment, but it is definitely something we must talk about and come to terms with.

Dude – don't worry about it I basically talk like you too But I need a good interlocutor to make it good In writing I am very precise But in talking, I am like you in the sense that I expect the person with who I am talking to take the proffered ideas and react to them Of course you can't rely on that But what I am saying is it wasn't you fault the way it turned out So absolve yourself of it

Hi, Tony! I just saw you on the Public Space! I really hope you take JF's offer at a second shot. I'd love to hear more of your vision of a revised NATO —if an independent part of the US or the entire world, that engages in race realism, congregates together in a world meeting. Please continue your work in helping to represent the realists that can't speak up. We thank you. Have a good night. Perfect! I hope you sleep well and I can't wait to see you back on the show! It would be cool to see you sometime around JF's Christmas special of many guests. Have a good night. Good luck to you and your future channel growth!

I'm not a baby, nor an emotionally stunted man-child. I don't run from disappointment or embarrassment. I learn from my mistakes, and others', and move on. That's what mature people do, as opposed to those who endlessly argue with random people on the internet. This fight for the West will not be won on YouTube, but with power, specifically political power. Along with this, we need Jesus Christ to be King of Society once again, and to restore Holy Mother the Catholic Church!

My friend told me, "You probably insulted him by saying that nothing will be achived online. Who cares about some Quebec Nationalist with a YouTube channel?"

Nicely said!

PS: I recently received this email from a blog follower:

hey man,

let me just say it was brave of you to go on a livestream. You basically sounded exactly how I would sound. I guess the secret is to have fewer points and to go more in depth with each one?

I don't actually know why JF gets like that with new contributors — what happened with you at the end was exactly what happened like 10x during the shows a really long time ago where he was interviewing for a co-host, and it's so cringey. I don't know why he doesn't just end things and then DM the person. We have a lot in common so I've subbed to your blog and youtube channel. I grew up Trad but I'm an atheist who is Trad sympathetic now.

Anyways, just wanted to say don't sweat this and it will be memoryholed to the world in about 2 weeks.

Well Said!

Watch the podcast

Stream video: stream video

Stream audio: stream audio

Download video (mp4): direct download link

Download audio (mp3): direct download link